Table 2. Geometric parameters (Å, °)

		r	
Ni—S(1)	2.453 (1)	Ni—S(2)	2.411 (1)
Ni—O(1)	2.035 (3)	Ni—O(31)	2.099 (4)
NiN(1)	2.139 (4)	Ni—N(2)	2.119 (4)
S(1)—C(7)	1.820 (6)	S(1)—C(8)	1.816 (6)
S(2)—C(9)	1.812 (6)	S(2)—C(10)	1.809 (6)
N(1)—C(1)	1.339 (6)	N(1)C(5)	1.363 (6)
N(2)—C(12)	1.354 (7)	N(2)—C(16)	1.357 (7)
C(1)—C(2)	1.371 (7)	C(13)—C(14)	1.37 (2)
C(14)—C(15)	1.38 (1)	C(15)—C(16)	1.377 (8)
C(2)—C(3)	1.379 (8)	C(3)—C(4)	1.376 (9)
C(4)—C(5)	1.383 (7)	C(5)—C(6)	1.500 (7)
C(6)—C(7)	1.526 (8)	C(8)—C(9)	1.513 (9)
C(10)—C(11)	1.52 (1)	C(11)—C(12)	1.499 (8)
C(12)—C(13)	1.394 (8)		
S(1)—Ni— $S(2)$	87.41 (5)	S(1)—Ni—O(1)	94.9 (2)
S(1)—Ni—O(31)	171.9 (1)	S(1)—Ni—N(1)	91.7 (1)
S(1)—Ni—N(2)	84.6 (1)	S(2)-Ni-O(1)	174.7 (2)
S(2)—Ni—O(31)	98.8 (1)	S(2)—Ni—N(1)	84.6 (1)
S(2)—Ni—N(2)	92.7 (1)	O(1)—Ni—O(31)	79.3 (1)
O(1) - Ni - N(1)	90.6 (2)	O(1)—Ni—N(2)	92.3 (2)
O(31)—Ni—N(1)	94.0 (2)	O(31)NiN(2)	89.9 (2)
N(1)—Ni—N(2)	175.5 (2)	C(7) - S(1) - C(8)	103.2 (3)
C(9) - S(2) - C(10)	101.7 (3)	C(1)—N(1)—C(5)	116.7 (4)
C(12) - N(2) - C(16)	117.0 (5)	S(1)C(8)C(9)	112.9 (4)
S(2) - C(9) - C(8)	113.7 (4)	S(2) - C(10) - C(11)	111.6 (5)
N(1) - C(1) - C(2)	124.5 (5)	C(1) - C(2) - C(3)	118.6 (5)
C(2) - C(3) - C(4)	118.4 (5)	C(3)—C(4)—C(5)	120.3 (5)
N(1) - C(5) - C(4)	121.5 (5)	N(1)-C(5)-C(6)	119.5 (4)
C(4) - C(5) - C(6)	118.9 (5)	C(5)—C(6)—C(7)	113.2 (4)
S(1) - C(7) - C(6)	110.2 (4)	C(10) - C(11) - C(12)	114.6 (6)
N(2) - C(12) - C(11)	119.5 (5)	N(2)-C(12)-C(13)	120.7 (6)
C(11) - C(12) - C(13)	119.8 (5)	C(12) - C(13) - C(14)	120.9 (6)
C(13)-C(14)-C(15)	118.9 (6)	C(14)-C(15)-C(16)	117.9 (6)
N(2)-C(16)-C(15)	124.5 (6)		

Data collection: Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 software. Data reduction: *SDP* (Frenz, 1978). Program used to solve structure: *SHELXS86* (Sheldrick, 1986). Programs used to refine structure: *SDP*. Molecular graphics: *SCHAKAL88* (Keller, 1988). The structure was solved by direct methods and subsequent difference Fourier methods. Anisotropic thermal parameters were refined for all non-H atoms. H atoms were included in the structure-factor calculations with B_{iso} fixed at 4.00 Å².

We thank the DGICYT (Spain) for financial support (project PS88-0051).

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, H-atom coordinates, complete geometry and least-squares-planes data have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 71237 (43 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England. [CIF reference: SH1041]

References

- Bermejo, E., Carballo, R., Castiñeiras, A., Lombao, A., Hiller, W. & Strähle, J. (1991). Polyhedron, 10, 1579–1585.
- Castiñeiras, A., Carballo, R., Hiller, W. & Strähle, J. (1990). Z. Kristallogr. 193, 251-259.
- Frenz, B. A. (1978). The Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 SDP a Real-Time System for Concurrent X-ray Data Collection and Crystal Structure Solution. Computing in Crystallography, edited by H. Schenk, R. Olthof-Hazekamp, H. van Koningsveld & G. C. Bassi, pp. 64–71. Delft Univ. Press.
- Keller, E. (1988). SCHAKAL88. Fortran Program for the Graphical Representation of Molecular and Crystallographic Models. Univ. of Freiburg, Germany.

© 1993 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Sheldrick, G. M. (1986). SHELXS86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
Walker, N. & Stuart, D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 158-166.

Acta Cryst. (1993). C49, 1920-1923

Structure of Diaquatetrakis(μ -2,6-difluorobenzoato- $\kappa O: \kappa O'$)dicopper(II)

ANASTAS KARIPIDES* AND CHARLOTTE WHITE

Department of Chemistry, Miami University, Oxford, Ohio 45056, USA

(Received 2 November 1992; accepted 27 April 1993)

Abstract

The structure consists of centrosymmetric dimeric units, $[Cu(C_6H_3F_2COO)_2(H_2O)]_2$, formed from the bridging of pairs of copper(II) ions by carboxylate groups from four different 2,6-difluorobenzoate anions. The distorted octahedral coordination about each Cu^{II} ion consists of four carboxylate O atoms, a water molecule and a centrosymmetrically related copper ion. The Cu-Cu distance is 2.613 (1) Å. The most significant feature of the crystalline structure is the water-mediated coordination of one of the carbon-bound F atoms to the copper ion through a strong C - F - H - Ohydrogen bond [F…O 2.962 (4) Å, F...H-O 163°]. Each 2,6-difluorobenzoate aryl group is twisted considerably from its corresponding carboxyl group plane [48.9, 104.2°].

Comment

The structure determination of the title compound was carried out as part of a program to investigate the structural environments of carbon-bound F atoms in metal salts of fluorocarboxylic acids. Substitution of F atoms for hydrogen can often lead to structural motifs that are not possible with the corresponding hydrogen homolog. Thus, in fluorocarboxylate salts, carbon-bound F atoms have been found to participate in direct metal-ion binding (C - F - M) as well as indirect metal-ion binding through a water-mediated hydrogen bond (C- $F \cdots H_2 O - M$ (Murray-Rust, Stallings, Monti. Preston & Glusker, 1983; Karipides & Miller, 1984; Kulawiec & Crabtree, 1990).

The crystal structure consists of centrosymmetric dimeric units similar to those found in copper carboxylate structures (Brown & Chidambaram, 1973). The asymmetric unit includes two independent 2,6-difluorobenzoate groups designated (a) and (b),

Acta Crystallographica Section C ISSN 0108-2701 ©1993 one Cu atom and one water molecule. Each Cu atom is surrounded by four carboxylate O atoms from four different ligands, one water molecule and a centrosymmetrically related Cu^{II} ion. The four carboxvlate O atoms are essentially coplanar with the Cu atom displaced from this plane toward the water molecule by 0.19 Å. In the tetragonally distorted octahedron about each copper, the Cuⁱ...Cu-Ow grouping is almost linear $[178.2 (4)^{\circ}]$ and the Cu—Ow vector is essentially perpendicular (1.8°) to the plane of the four carboxylate O atoms. The range of Cu-O bond lengths of 1.949 (2)-1.976 (2) Å (carboxylate) and 2.146 (2) Å (water), the mean bridging path length (Cu-O-C-O-Cu) 6.430 (6) Å and the Cu. Cu distance of 2.613 (1) Å are comparable with similar distances observed in aromatic and aliphatic copper(II) carboxylates (Harrison, Rettig & Trotter, 1972; Rao, Sathvanaravana & Manohar, 1983; Kawata et al., 1992).



Fig. 1. A view of the structure showing the dimeric formula unit and the atom-labeling scheme. Aryl-ring H atoms have been omitted.

The crystalline structure is stabilized by hydrogen bonds involving the water molecule, the F atom, F2a, and the carboxylate O atom, O1b. The most significant feature of this structure is the presence of a strong intermolecular C-F...H-Ow hydrogen bond. In a detailed study of the intermolecular interactions of the C-F bond. Murray-Rust et al. (1983) noted that F...O distances involved in hydrogen bonding should be less than 3.2 Å. The shortest previously reported F...O distances in C-F...H-O interactions include 3.00 Å observed in 9α fluorocortisol (Dupont, Dideberg & Campsteyn, 1972; Weeks, Duax & Wolff, 1973) and 2.995 (2) Å in calcium 2-fluorobenzoate dihydrate (Karipides & Miller, 1984). To the best of our knowledge, the observed $F \cdots Ow$ distance of 2.962 (4) Å in the

present structure is the shortest F...O hydrogen-bond distance involving a carbon-bound F atom heretofore reported. Pertinent hydrogen-bonding parameters are given in Table 2. Although water molecules and carboxylate O atoms are the more likely donor groups toward metal ions in fluorocarboxylates. there is considerable crystallographic evidence that carbon-bound F atoms may participate in direct (C-F-M) metal-ion binding in structures of monovalent alkali-metal fluorocarboxylates (Murray-Rust et al., 1983). The observed C-F. H-O-Cu bonding motif in copper(II) 2,6-difluorobenzoate hydrate is consistent with the premise (Karipides & Miller. 1984) that in divalent or trivalent metal-ion salts of fluorocarboxylic acids, indirect water-mediated coordination through a $C - F - H_2O - M$ hydrogen bond is more favorable than direct C - F - Mbinding.

In each of the 2,6-difluorobenzoate groups, (a) and (b), the plane of the aromatic ring is twisted considerably from the plane of the corresponding carboxylate group. These dihedral angles are 48.9 and 75.8° for (a) and (b), respectively. In calcium 2,6-difluorobenzoate dihydrate this angle is 48.2° (Karipides, White & Peiffer, 1992). Although the structure of free 2.6-difluorobenzoic acid has not been reported, we note that the twist angles in these 2,6-difluorobenzoate salts are considerably greater than the 29.8° found in pentafluorobenzoic acid, which contains fluorine substituents in the 2 and 6 positions (Benghiat & Leiserowitz, 1972).

Experimental

Crystal data

$\begin{bmatrix} Cu_{2}(C_{7}H_{3}F_{2}O_{2})_{4}(H_{2}O)_{2} \end{bmatrix}$ $M_{r} = 791.5$ Triclinic $P\overline{1}$ a = 7.404 (1) Å b = 10.006 (1) Å c = 10.093 (1) Å $\alpha = 90.28 (1)^{\circ}$ $\beta = 97.68 (1)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 91.77 (1)^{\circ}$ $V = 740.64 Å^{3}$ Z = 1	$D_x = 1.774 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ $D_m = 1.77 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\theta = 8.35 - 17.25^{\circ}$ $\mu = 1.61 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 292 K Prism $0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.17 \text{ mm}$ Blue-green
Data collection	
diffractometer	$[F > \sigma(F)]$
$\theta/2\theta$ scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.038$
Absorption correction: empirical $T_{min} = 0.9008$, $T_{max} =$	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.00^{\circ}$ $h = -8 \rightarrow 8$ $k = -11 \rightarrow 11$
0.9974	$l = 0 \rightarrow 11$
2719 measured reflections	3 standard reflections
2572 independent reflections	frequency: 210 min intensity variation: none

REGULAR STRUCTURAL PAPERS

Керпетен			
5	2 2	Cla—C2a—C3a	123.5
Refinement on F	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F) + 0.0004F^2]$	C2a—C3a—C4a	118.4
Final $R = 0.041$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.01$	C3aC4aC5a	120.7
$w \mathbf{P} = 0.042$	$h_{1} = 0.35 \text{ s} \text{ h}^{-3}$	C4a—C5a—C6a	118.7
WR = 0.042	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.55 \ {\rm e \ A}$	C5a-C6a-C1a	123.2
S = 1.15	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min}$ = 0.33 e Å ⁻³	F6a-C6a-C1a	118.4
2268 reflections	Atomic scattering factors	F6a—C6a—C5a	118.3
217 parameters	from International Tables	C2b—C1b—C6b	116.0
H-atom parameters not re-	for X-ray Crystallography	Symmetry codes: (i) $-x$, -	
fined	(1974, Vol. IV)		

Table	1.	Fractional	atomic	coordinates	and equivalent	
isotropic displacement parameters (Å ²)						

	$U_{ m eq}$	$= \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} U_{ij} a_{i}^{*} d_{j}^{*}$	$a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j$.	
	x	y	z	U_{eq}
Cu	0.15570 (5)	0.04618 (4)	-0.03114 (4)	0.0302
Ow	0.4090 (3)	0.1284 (3)	-0.0808 (3)	0.0477
01 <i>a</i>	0.0872 (3)	0.2111 (2)	0.0506 (3)	0.0454
O2a	-0.1827 (3)	0.1339 (2)	0.0988 (2)	0.0412
C7a	-0.0591 (4)	0.2226 (3)	0.0990 (3)	0.0360
F2a	-0.3832 (4)	0.3629 (3)	0.0478 (4)	0.111
F6a	0.1994 (4)	0.3560 (3)	0.2896 (4)	0.108
Cla	-0.0892 (5)	0.3536 (3)	0.1644 (4)	0.0432
C2a	-0.2517 (6)	0.4172 (4)	0.1386 (5)	0.0623
C3a	-0.2866 (7)	0.5360 (5)	0.1990 (6)	0.0810
C4a	-0.1548 (8)	0.5919 (5)	0.2907 (6)	0.0783
C5a	0.0079 (7)	0.5307 (5)	0.3238 (5)	0.0737
C6a	0.0381 (6)	0.4144 (4)	0.2580 (5)	0.0603
01 <i>b</i>	0.2629 (3)	-0.0149 (2)	0.1472 (2)	0.0400
O2 <i>b</i>	-0.0060 (3)	-0.0873 (2)	0.2010 (2)	0.0398
C7 <i>b</i>	0.1620 (4)	-0.0659 (3)	0.2257 (3)	0.0335
F2 <i>b</i>	0.1426 (3)	0.0849 (2)	0.4564 (2)	0.0640
F6 <i>b</i>	0.3712 (4)	-0.2924 (2)	0.2721 (3)	0.0706
C1b	0.2516 (4)	-0.1045 (4)	0.3618 (3)	0.0363
C2b	0.2359 (5)	-0.0289 (4)	0.4742 (4)	0.0475
C3b	0.3125 (6)	-0.0620 (5)	0.5996 (4)	0.0619
C4b	0.4123 (6)	-0.1755 (6)	0.6153 (5)	0.0723
C5b	0.4360 (6)	-0.2548 (5)	0.5070 (5)	0.0653
C6b	0.3535 (5)	-0.2164 (4)	0.3821 (4)	0.0484
Hlw	0.4579	0.2049	-0.02693	0.0633
H2w	0.5094	0.0971	-0.1240	0.0633

Table 2. Selected geometry (Å, °)

Cu···Cu ⁱ	2.6127 (8)	C7 <i>b</i> O1 <i>b</i>
Cu—O2a ⁱ	1.949 (2)	C7bO2b
Cu—Ola	1.952 (2)	C1 <i>b</i> C7 <i>b</i>
Cu—O2b ⁱ	1.966 (2)	C1bC2b
Cu—O1b	1.976 (2)	C1bC6b
Cu—Ow	2.146 (2)	C2b—C3b
C7a—O1a	1.255 (4)	C2b—F2b
C7a—O2a	1.255 (4)	C3b—C4b
C1aC7a	1.500 (5)	C4b—C5b
Cla—C2a	1.373 (5)	C5b—C6b
Cla—C6a	1.368 (6)	C6bF6b
C2a—C3a	1.380 (6)	$Ow \cdot \cdot \cdot F2a^{"}$
C2a—F2a	1.344 (5)	$Ow \cdots O1b^m$
C3a—C4a	1.357 (7)	$H1w \cdot \cdot F2a^{"}$
C4a—C5a	1.370 (7)	$H2w \cdots O1b^m$
C5a—C6a	1.376 (6)	Ow—H1w
C6a—F6a	1.346 (5)	Ow-H2w
01a—C7a—O2a	125.8 (3)	F2b-C2b-C1b
O1a—C7a—C1a	117.4 (3)	F2b-C2b-C3b
O2a—C7a—C1a	116.7 (3)	C1 <i>b</i> —C2 <i>b</i> —C3 <i>b</i>
C2a—C1a—C7a	122.9 (3)	C2bC3bC4b
C6a—C1a—C7a	121.7 (3)	C3bC4bC5b
O1 <i>b</i> —C7 <i>b</i> —O2 <i>b</i>	126.0 (3)	C4b—C5b—C6b
O1 <i>b</i> —C7 <i>b</i> —C1 <i>b</i>	116.8 (3)	C5b—C6b—C1b
O2b-C7b-C1b	117.2 (3)	F6b-C6b-C1b
C2b—C1b—C7b	121.7 (3)	F6b—C6b—C5b
C6b—C1b—C7b	122.3 (3)	Ola-Cu-Ow
C2a—C1a—C6a	115.4 (4)	Ola-Cu···Cu ⁱ
F2a-C2a-C1a	118.6 (4)	O2a ⁱ —Cu—Ow

F2a—C2a—C3a	117.9 (4)	$O2a^{1}$ — $Cu \cdot \cdot \cdot Cu^{1}$	85.19 (7)	
Cla—C2a—C3a	123.5 (4)	O1b-Cu-Ow	94.2 (1)	
C2a—C3a—C4a	118.4 (4)	O1bCu···Cu ⁱ	86.18 (7)	
C3aC4aC5a	120.7 (4)	O2b ⁱ —Cu—Ow	97.0(1)	
C4a—C5a—C6a	118.7 (4)	$O2b^i$ —Cu···Cu ⁱ	82.72 (7)	
C5a—C6a—C1a	123.2 (4)	Ow—Cu···Cu ⁱ	178.2 (4)	
F6a—C6a—C1a	118.4 (4)	Ow—H1w···F2a ⁱⁱ	163	
F6a—C6a—C5a	118.3 (4)	Ow—H2w· · ·O1b ⁱⁱⁱ	160	
C2b—C1b—C6b	116.0 (3)	H1w—Ow—H2w	106	
Symmetry codes: (i) $-x, -y, -z$; (ii) $1 + x, y, z$; (iii) $1 - x, -y, -z$.				

The title compound was prepared from the stoichiometric reaction of 'basic' copper(II) carbonate, CuCO3.Cu(OH)2.H2O, with a hot aqueous solution of 2,6-difluorobenzoic acid. Well formed crystals, which gave sharp optical extinction under crossed polarizers, were readily grown by slow evaporation of a filtered solution at ambient temperature.

The structure was solved using heavy-atom methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares procedures. H-atom positions were located from difference Fourier maps and adjusted along the corresponding C-H or O-H bond direction until the C-H and O-H bond lengths were 1.08 and 0.97 Å, respectively. However, H-atom coordinates and the fixed isotropic displacement parameter for each H atom were not refined.

Programs used include the Enraf-Nonius SDP-Plus program package (Frenz, 1985), a local version of ORFLS (Busing, Martin & Levy, 1962) and ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976).

AK thanks the National Institute of General Medical Sciences for financial support through grant GM40159-01 and the National Science Foundation (grant CHE-8418897) for funds to purchase the CAD-4 diffractometer system.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters and Hatom coordinates have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 71283 (16 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England. [CIF reference: CR1050]

References

1.259 (4) 1.247 (4) 1.501 (4) 1.380 (5)

1.370 (5)

1.362 (6) 1.351 (5)

1.373 (7)

1.381 (7)

1.387 (5)

1.366 (5)

2.962 (4)

2.870 (3)

2.02

1.94 0.97

0.97

117.3 (3) 119.2 (4)

123,4 (4)

118.5 (4)

121.2 (4)

117.5 (4)

123.3 (4)

116.9 (3)

119.8 (4)

- Benghiat, V. & Leiserowitz, L. (1972). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 1778-1785.
- Brown, G. M. & Chidambaram, R. (1973). Acta Cryst. B29, 2393-2403.
- Busing, W. R., Martin, K. O. & Levy, H. A. (1962). ORFLS. Report ORNL-TM-305. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Dupont, L., Dideberg, O. & Campsteyn, H. (1972). Acta Cryst. B28, 3023-3032.
- Frenz, B. A. (1985). Enraf-Nonius SDP-Plus Structure Determination Package. Version 3.0. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Harrison, W., Rettig, S. & Trotter, J. (1972). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1852-1856.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Karipides, A. & Miller, C. (1984). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106, 1494-1495.
- Karipides, A., White, C. & Peiffer, K. (1992). Acta Cryst. C48, 1015-1018.
- 96.6 (1) Kawata, T., Uekusa, H., Ohba, S., Furukawa, T., Tokii, T., 83.69 (7) Muto, Y. & Kato, M. (1992). Acta Cryst. B48, 253-261. 96.6(1)

Kulawiec, R. J. & Crabtree, R. H. (1990). Coord. Chem. Rev. 99, 89-115.

- Murray-Rust, P., Stallings, W. C., Monti, C, Preston, R. & Glusker, J. P. (1983). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 105, 3206–3214.
- Rao, V. M., Sathyanarayana, D. M. & Manohar, H. (1983). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 2167-2173.
- Weeks, C. M., Duax, W. L. & Wolff, M. E. (1973). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 95, 2865-2868.

Acta Cryst. (1993). C49, 1923-1926

Isostructural Mixed Ligand Complexes of $[VO]^{2+}$ and $[InCl]^{2+}$ with Hydrotris(3,5-dimethylpyrazolyl)borato and 1,3-Diphenyl-1,3-propanedionato Ligands

ROY L. BEDDOES, DAVID R. EARDLEY, FRANK E. MABBS,* DAVID MOORCROFT AND MOHAMMAD A. PASSAND

Department of Chemistry, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, England

(Received 5 November 1992; accepted 18 May 1993)

Abstract

The crystal and molecular structures of $(1,3-diphenyl-1,3-propanedionato-\kappa^2O,O')$ oxo[tris(3,5-dimethyl-1-pyrazolyl- κN^2)hydroborato]vanadium(IV) (1) and chloro(1,3-diphenyl-1,3-propanedionato- κ^2O,O')[tris(3,5-dimethyl-1-pyrazolyl- κN^2)hydroborato]indium(III) methyl cyanide (2) have been determined. The crystals of both (1) and (2) consist of discrete six-coordinate molecules which have distorted octahedral geometries. As expected, there is a lengthening of the V—N bond *trans* to the terminal oxo group in the vanadium complex with a similar, but less pronounced, lengthening of the In—N bond *trans* to the chloro group in the indium complex.

Comment

We have an ongoing interest in the electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy of oxovanadium(IV) species. In order to maximize the information available from single-crystal measurements it is necessary to dilute the paramagnetic compound in a diamagnetic isostructural host. We have found that in some cases compounds containing the $[MCl]^{2+}$ moiety, where M = Ga or In, act as suitable diluents for compounds containing $[VO]^{2+}$ (Collison, Gahan & Mabbs, 1987; Collison, Mabbs & Temperley, 1991). As part of these studies we now report the crystal structures of [LVO(dbzac)] (1) and

© 1993 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved [LInCl(dbzac)].CH₃CN (2), where L = tris(3,5-dimethylpyrazolyl)hydroborato and dbzac = 1,3-diphenyl-1,3-propanedionato. The structures of these two complexes are sufficiently similar for [LInCl(dbzac)] to act as a suitable diamagnetic host for [LVO(dbzac)]. The single-crystal EPR spectros-copy of [LInCl(VO)(dbzac)] will be reported elsewhere.



The molecular geometry in each compound is similar (Figs. 1 and 2) and consists of a distorted octahedron. As expected there is a significant lengthening of the V-N bond trans to the terminal oxo group [V(1)-N(11) = 2.326 (5) Å comparedwith V(1)—N(21) = 2.067 (10) and V(1)—N(31) =2.121 (10) Ål. There is a similar, but less pronounced, lengthening of the In-N bond trans to Cl in [LInCl(dbzac)] [In(1)-N(11) = 2.304 (4) Å compared with In(1) - N(21) = 2.213(4) and In(1) -N(31) = 2.223 (3) Å. The atoms within the sixmembered rings comprising the metal and the O(41)-C(41)-C(42)-C(43)-O(42) part of the dbzac ligand are not coplanar. There is a significant tilting about the O(41)—O(42) direction towards the terminal monodentate ligand. The dihedral angle



Fig. 1. *PLUTO* drawing (Motherwell & Clegg, 1978) of the molecular structure and the atomic numbering of [LVO(dbzac)].